

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/886,916 | 06/21/2001 | Denis O'Leary | 72167.000305 | 6660 |
| 21967 7590 07/25/2007 HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | KESACK, DANIEL | |
| 1900 K STREI SUITE 1200 | ET, N.W. | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109 | | | 3691 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/25/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/886,916 | O'LEARY ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Dan Kesack | 3691 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 16(a). In no event, however, may a re rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB | CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 May 2007</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) 🗌 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • • | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | • | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | Г. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | , □ | (DTO 442) | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Infor | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | | nformal Patent Application | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/886,916 Page 2

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment filed May 7, 2007 has been entered and fully considered. Claims 1-88 are currently pending. The rejections are as stated below.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed March 1, 2007 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because there is no indication as to which references of the 597 submitted are relevant to the claimed subject matter. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-12, 14, 16, 19-21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29-45, 47-59, and 61-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Levechin et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,089,208, as cited in the previous Office Action.

Claim has been amended to recite, "the at least one account system funding the electronic payment account of the at least one customer from the demand deposit account of the at least one customer." Levechin teaches this feature, in that the user may authorize the system to transfer additional funds to the user's account from a bank account (column 4 lines 48-52 and column 5 lines 45-54).

Furthermore, claims 1, 43, 61, and 75 have been amended to recite, "transfer of funds including a pushing of funds to the electronic payment account of the another customer". Levechin teaches this claim feature. Pushing of funds from the payor to the payee is supported by the system of Levechin because Levechin teaches the payor initiating the transfer of funds (column 9 lines 4-19). At least this may be considered pushing the funds to the payment account of the another customer.

serve as the payee account number.

Claim 88, Levechin teaches the command from a first customer to transfer funds from the first customer's electronic payment account to an electronic payment account of another customer includes a payee account number of the payee (column 9 lines 28-31). Furthermore, Levechin teaches the identifier may be a number, such as a telephone number or a social security number (column 3 lines 63-67), which would

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3691

7. Claims 13, 17, 18, 28, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levechin, as cited in the previous Office Action.

- 8. Claims 60, 74, and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levechin, as applied above, and further in view of Magness, U.S. Patent No. 6,769,605, as cited in the previous Office Action.
- 9. Claims 15, 22, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levechin, as applied above, and further in view of Drummond et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,080,036, as cited in the previous Office Action.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed May 7, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It appears Applicant has correctly categorized the features and merits of Levechin, and has clearly pointed out the features recited in claim 1 of the current invention.

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the

Art Unit: 3691

references. Applicant repeatedly argues that the teachings of Levechin describe traditional forms of payment, but fails to point out how the claim language differentiates the invention from the prior art of record.

Furthermore, Applicant's arguments directed towards the newly recited claim language have been addressed above.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Kesack whose telephone number is 571-272-5882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER